

## DESIGNATIONS OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF BASSEY JEJE (Testifying By Way of Deposition Only)

Case	3:99-cv-02506-SI	Document 2061 Filed 11/0	3/08 Page	1 of 23
		All	Plaintiffs' Designations	
to call Mr. Jeje in their affirmative case.	The designations below represent defendants' counter-designations and a limited cross examination based on plaintiffs' designations. Defendants	Defendants object to the introduction of any testimony from Bassey Jeje because he withheld material evidence during discovery, committed perjury about how and when the evidence was lost, and should be dismissed from this case. See Dkt. # 1998.	Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations	
			Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	(Testifying by way of Deposition City) (Counter-Designations in italicized text) Deposition January 18-22, 2005
	• •		Defendants' Designations	ons in italicized t uary 18-22, 2005
		Plaintiffs counter-designate all testimony that was designated in their own designation from Bassey Jeje's deposition which defendants have not designated.	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations	text)
confer on the counterdesignation and is otherwise improper. Accordingly,  Defendants move to strike	to testimony Defendants have designated, Plaintiffs were obligated to identify that testimony as a specific counter-designation.  Plaintiffs' attempt to assert a blanket counter-designation	As explained in Caroline Mitchell's correspondence dated August 8, 2008, Defendants object to Plaintiffs' attempt to blanket counter-designate all of the testimony included in their designations. To the extent Plaintiffs contend that particular testimony is a proper counter-designation	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	

Case 3:99-cv-02506-SI Document 2052-2

	Case 3:99-cv-0250		61 Filed 11/0	3/08 Page	3 of 23
192:20-22	192:14-16	190:18-19		Plaintiffs' Designations	
Testimony relating to deponent's wives and	Testimony relating to deponent's wives and children is irrelevant and a waste of time because he has no claims to which this information is relevant. FRE 401-403.	Testimony relating to whether there was a school in his village is irrelevant, a waste of time and prejudicial. FRE 401,403.	in his village is irrelevant, a waste of time and prejudicial. FRE 401-403.	Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations	DESIC
This testimony is admissible as limited background	This testimony is admissible as limited background information about the plaintiff.	This testimony is admissible to show the plaintiffs' lack of education or sophistication and, thus, is relevant to the jury's assessment of his credibility.	of education or sophistication and, thus, is relevant to the jury's assessment of his credibility.	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	DESIGNATIONS OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF BASSEY JEJE (Testifying By Way of Deposition Only) (Counter-Designations in italicized text) Deposition January 18-22, 2005
				Defendants' Designations	F DEPOSITION TESTIMONY tifying By Way of Deposition Or unter-Designations in italicized to Deposition January 18-22, 2005
				Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations	OF BASSEY JEJE dy) ext)
				Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	

3/08	61 Filed 11/0	6-SI Document 206	Case 3:99-cv-0250	
and the state of t		193:9-12	194:7-8	198:1-7
	children is irrelevant and a waste of time because he has no claims to which this information is relevant. FRE 401-403.	Testimony relating to deponent's wives and children is irrelevant and a waste of time because he has no claims to which this information is relevant FRE 401-403.	Testimony relating to deponent's wives and children is irrelevant and a waste of time because he has no claims to which this information is relevant.  FRE 401-403.	
Counter-Designations	information about the plaintiff.	This testimony is admissible as limited background information about the plaintiff.	This testimony is admissible as limited background information about the plaintiff.	
				:
Designations				

201:11; 201:14-17 2:5 Hearsay (as shown in 259:9-15). FRE 802. Hearsay (as shown in 259:9-15). FRE 802.	
2:5  Hearsay (as shov 15). FRE 802.  Hearsay (as shov 15). FRE 802.	Plaintiffs' De Designations and
Hearsay (as shown in 259:9-15). FRE 802. Hearsay (as shown in 259:9-15). FRE 802.	201:18-202:5
Hearsay (as shown in 259:9-15). FRE 802. Hearsay (as shown in 259:9-15). FRE 802.	257:3-6
Hearsay (as shown in 259:9-15). FRE 802. Hearsay (as shown in 259:9-15). FRE 802.	258:15-16
Hearsay (as shown in 259:9-15). FRE 802. Hearsay (as shown in 259:9-15). FRE 802.	258:19-20
Hearsay (as shown in 259:9-15). FRE 802.	259:4-8 Hear 15).
9:22-23	259:16-19 Hear 15).
	259:22-23

Case	3:99-cv	-02506-SI D	ocument 2	2061 F	iled 11/C	3/08	Page (	6 of 23
					260:4-10	Q	Plaintiffs' Designations	
							Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations	DESIG
						and Objections to Counter-Designations	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections	DESIGNATIONS OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF BASSEY JEJE (Testifying By Way of Deposition Only) (Counter-Designations in italicized text) Deposition January 18-22, 2005
	263:13-263:17			262:8-12; 262:14-22	262:4-5;		Defendants' Designations	N TESTIMONY of Deposition O ons in italicized to uary 18-22, 2005
damages arising from items he lost as a result of the Ilaje/Ijaw crisis. Indeed, he is not claiming any lost	263:13-263:17: Irrelevant and prejudicial. Plaintiff Jeje is not claiming any	other property losses arising from the injuries he suffered on May 28 <sub>4</sub> 1998at Parabe.	arising from items he lost as a result of the Ilaje/Ijaw crisis. Indeed, he is not claiming any lost wages or	22: Irrelevant and prejudicial. Plaintiff Jeje is not claiming any damages	262:4-5; 262:8-12; 262:14-		Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations	OF BASSEY JEJE only) text)
capacity lost in the past and/or which will be reasonably probable to be lost in the future," and this	Plaintiff Jeje is claiming "the reasonable value of wages, earnings and earning	proper measure of that earning capacity because that capacity ( <i>i.e.</i> , his business) was destroyed during the Ijaw crisis.	and/or which will be reasonably probable to be lost in the future," and this testimony is relevant to the	"the reasonable value of wages, earnings and earning capacity lost in the past	Plaintiff Jeje is claiming	Objections to Counter- Designations	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and	

Case	e 3:99-cv-02506-SI D	ocument 2	2061 Filed 11/0	3/08 Page	7 of 23
273:11-19	271:14-25	264:2-17		Plaintiffs' Designations	
	Hearsay. FRE 802; see 283:2-19 (where deponent admits that conversation occurred in English and that he did not understand any of it without later translation by Bola Oyinbo).	264:3-4: Colloquy of counsel is irrelevant, waste of time. FRE 401-403.		Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations	DESIG
	The content of the discussion with the military is admissible under the state of mind exception to the hearsay rule, because it is evidence of Bassey Jeje's motivation in going onto the platform. FRE 803(3).	Plaintiffs do not intend to read in the objections of counsel.		Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	DESIGNATIONS OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF BASSEY JEJE (Testifying By Way of Deposition Only) (Counter-Designations in italicized text) Deposition January 18-22, 2005
				Defendants' Designations	N TESTIMONY of Deposition Ons in italicized tary 18-22, 2005
			wages or other property losses arising from the injuries he suffered on May 28, 1998 at Parabe.	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations	OF BASSEY JEJE nly) text)
			testimony is relevant to the proper measure of that earning capacity because that capacity ( <i>i.e.</i> , his business) was destroyed during the Ijaw crisis.	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	

8 of 23	3/08 Page 8	Filed 11/0	Document 2061	99-cv-02506-SI	Case 3:
	Plaintiffs' Designations	273:22-274:7	LEngl. unde unde later Oyin (whe do	274:13-21	283:21-284:8
DESIG	Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations	273:24-274:7: Hearsay. FRE 802; see 283:2-19 (where deponent admits that conversation occurred in	English and that he did not understand any of it without later translation by Bola Oyinbo); see also 5:16-17 (where deponent states that he does not speak English).	Hearsay. FRE 802.  274:14-15: Colloquy of counsel is irrelevant, waste of time. FRE 401-403.	Hearsay. FRE 802; see also 283:2-19; 284:9, 12-16 (where deponent admits that conversation was in English)
DESIGNATIONS OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF BASSEY JEJE (Testifying By Way of Deposition Only) (Counter-Designations in italicized text) Deposition January 18-22, 2005	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	Most of this testimony does not involve statements but rather descriptions of conduct. To the extent it	does include statements by others, however, they are admissible under the state of mind exception to show Bassey Jeje's motives and intent in going onto the platform.	State of mind hearsay objection. FRE 803(3). Plaintiffs do not intend to read in the objections of counsel.	Much of this designation (283:21-25) is descriptive and not involving statements at all. 284:6-8 is
F DEPOSITION TESTIMONY tifying By Way of Deposition Ounter-Designations in italicized to Deposition January 18-22, 2005	Defendants' Designations				
OF BASSEY JEJE nly) ext)	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations				
	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations				

r	Case 3:99-cv	/-025C	06-SI Document 206	61 Filed 11/0	3/08 Page 9	9 of 23
				and the second s	Plaintiffs' Designations	
				understand, and his testimony is based on what Bola Oyinbo told him).	Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations	DESIG
				802(3) to show Bassey Jeje's state of mind in participating in the protest. The remainder is withdrawn.	Plaintiffs? Responses to Defendants? Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	DESIGNATIONS OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF BASSEY JEJE (Testifying By Way of Deposition Only) (Counter-Designations in italicized text) Deposition January 18-22, 2005
9	417:21-22; 417:25	393:24-394:3	393:13-15, 19-22		Defendants' Designations	N TESTIMONY of Deposition Or Days in italicized that italicized that it is seen that it is see
Sommer S.	Exhibit 701 lacks foundation that it bears any relationship to the actual physical layout at Parabe Platform. As such, any questioning with respect to it and any marks the		393:20-22 – Move to strike as non-responsive to the question asked.		Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations	NY OF BASSEY JEJE Only) d text) 05
	Plaintiffs' counsel did not object to the use of Exhibit 701 during this questioning, so this objection to form is waived. Moreover, regardless of whether the exhibit is an actual picture	·	Testimony regarding Jeje's percipient observations as to the type of guns and the sound of the guns directly answers the question inquiring into the type of gun.		Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	

Cas	se 3	:99-0	cv-02506-SI	Document 2061	Filed 11/03	3/08 Page	10 of 23
418:13-15	418:6-11	418:2-3				Plaintiffs' Designations	
						Defendants? Objections and Counter-Designations	DESIG
	in the state of th					Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	DESIGNATIONS OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF BASSEY JEJE (Testifying By Way of Deposition Only) (Counter-Designations in italicized text) Deposition January 18-22, 2005
						Defendants' Designations	F DEPOSITION TESTIMONY tifying By Way of Deposition Ounter-Designations in italicized to Deposition January 18-22, 2005
	A CALL AND			417:2-20	deponent made on it are irrelevant and unduly prejudicial.	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations	Y OF BASSEY JEJE Only) 1 text) 15
			701 and the orientation of the CBL-101 and Parabe platform during the invasion. <i>See</i> 432:5-20.	plaintiffs' objection goes to its weight and not its admissibility. Moreover, Jeje provided foundation for the orientation of the barge and the platform in Exhibit	of the CBL-101 and Parabe platform, it is a tool that will assist the jury in understanding the relative location of Jeie, so	Defendants? Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter- Designations	

Case 3:99-cv-02506-SI Document	t 206	61 F	iled	11/03	3/08 Page 1	1 of 23
	428:16-22	428:11-12	428:6-10	428:4-5	Plaintiffs? Designations	
468.18-469.3					Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations	DESIG
The designated testimony is not admissible under FRE 106 as evidence that is necessary for a fair presentation of the ctestimony. Instead the testimony offered is in the nature of cross-examination, attempting to obtain information that is different from what plaintiff first stated, and thus, if anything should be part of defendants' cross-examination, hot insertions					Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	DESIGNATIONS OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF BASSEY JEJE (Testifying By Way of Deposition Only) (Counter-Designations in italicized text) Deposition January 18-22, 2005
					Defendants' Designations	N TESTIMONY of Deposition Or Deposition Or ons in italicized that the party 18-22, 2005
					Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations	ONY OF BASSEY JEJE n Only) sed text) 005
					Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	·

Case 3		cv-02 484	2506- 483	,	Document 206: 482:2-6	1	ed 469:4-7	1/03		2 of 23
	484:14-17	484:5-11	483:22-484:2	482:24-483:2	:2-6	481:16-23	:4-7		Plaintiffs' Designations	
484:18-24; 485:1-16; 485:19-23; 485:25-486:3; 486:5-9; 486:12-13				1	482:2-6: Non responsive statement by deponent is confusing, misleading and prejudicial. FRE 403.				Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations	DESIC
Generally, plaintiffs move to strike the testimony as nonresponsive to the			-		Testimony is responsive in that it provides information about what Bassey Jeje could see when he was starting across the barge.			for completeness.	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	DESIGNATIONS OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF BASSEY JEJE (Testifying By Way of Deposition Only) (Counter-Designations in italicized text) Deposition January 18-22, 2005
									Defendants' Designations	OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF Stifying By Way of Deposition Only unter-Designations in italicized text Deposition January 18-22, 2005
									Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations	OF BASSEY JEJE nly) ext)
									Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	

С	ase 3	3:99-cv-02506-SI Document 2061 Filed 11/03	8/08 Page 1	.3 of 23
486:19-25	486:15-16		Plaintiffs' Defendants' Objections Designations and Counter-Designations	DESI
		as to the meaning of plaintiff's responses.  Further, the designated testimony is not admissible under FRE 106 as evidence that is necessary for a fair presentation of the ctestimony. Instead the testimony offered is in the nature of cross-examination, attempting to obtain information that is different from what plaintiff first stated, and thus, if anything should be part of defendants' cross-examination, not insertions.	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	DESIGNATIONS OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF BASSEY JEJE (Testifying By Way of Deposition Only) (Counter-Designations in italicized text) Deposition January 18-22, 2005
			Defendants' Designations	F DEPOSITION TESTIMONY stifying By Way of Deposition Or unter-Designations in italicized the Deposition January 18-22, 2005
			Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations	NY OF BASSEY JEJE Only) d text) 05
			Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	

Case 3:	99-cv-02506-SI Document 2061 F	iled	11/03	3/08 Page 1	4 of 23
489:14-490:15		487:20-488:19	487:3-12	Plaintiffs' Designations	
Lacks foundation because plaintiffs have not designated the portion of deponent's testimony that he was shot. FRE 401-403,	366:5; 366:14 starting with "by"-19			Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations	DESIG
Plaintiffs have designated testimony showing that plaintiff was shot, so the premise for defendants' objection is simply wrong.	Testimony at 366:5, 14-16 is not admissible under FRE 106 as testimony necessary for a fair presentation of the testimony cited by plaintiffs, but rather is in the nature of cross-examination or affirmative designations by defendants.  Plaintiffs move to strike testimony at 366:17-19 as non-responsive to the question asked.			Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	DESIGNATIONS OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF BASSEY JEJE (Testifying By Way of Deposition Only) (Counter-Designations in italicized text) Deposition January 18-22, 2005
		ALLEGATION OF THE STATE OF THE		Defendants' Designations	OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY stifying By Way of Deposition Or unter-Designations in italicized the Deposition January 18-22, 2005
				Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations	OF BASSEY JEJE nly) ext)
				Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter- Designations	

	Case 3:99-cv-0250	6-SI Document 20	61 Filed 11/03	/08 Page 1	5 of 23
	521:1-11	492:2-15		Plaintiffs? Designations	
521:12-14	Lacks foundation because plaintiffs have not designated the portion of deponent's testimony that he was shot. FRE 401-403, 602.	492:10-15: Lacks foundation because plaintiffs have not designated the portion of deponent's testimony that he was shot. ERH 401-403, 602.	489:20-21 Colloquy of counsel is irrelevant, waste of time. FRE 401-403.	Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations	DESIG
Testimony at 366:5, 14-16	Plaintiffs have designated testimony showing that plaintiff was shot, so the premise for defendants' objection is simply wrong. See 484:14-17 and surrounding testimony.	Plaintiffs have designated testimony showing that plaintiff was shot, so the premise for defendants' objection is simply wrong. <i>See</i> 484:14-17 and surrounding testimony.	See 484:14-17 and surrounding testimony.  Plaintiffs do not intend to offer the colloquy of counsel at 489:20-21.	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	DESIGNATIONS OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF BASSEY JEJE (Testifying By Way of Deposition Only) (Counter-Designations in italicized text) Deposition January 18-22, 2005
				Defendants? Designations	F DEPOSITION TESTIMONY tifying By Way of Deposition Ounter-Designations in italicized to Deposition January 18-22, 2005
				Plaintiffs? Objections and Counter-Designations	OF BASSEY JEJE nly) ext)
				Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	· ·

Case 3:9	99-cv	-025	06-S	I Do	cum	ent 2061 Filed 11/03	3/08 Page 1	.6 of 23
	581:10-13	580:22-581:7	580:15-19	580:6-12	580:2-3		Plaintiffs' Designations	
366:5; 366:14 starting with "by"-19							Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations	DESIG
Testimony at 366:5, 14-16 is not admissible under FRE 106 as testimony necessary for a fair presentation of the testimony cited by plaintiffs,						is not admissible under FRE 106 as testimony necessary for a fair presentation of the testimony cited by plaintiffs, but rather is in the nature of cross-examination. Further, the testimony is irrelevant under FRE 402.	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	DESIGNATIONS OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF BASSEY JEJE (Testifying By Way of Deposition Only) (Counter-Designations in italicized text) Deposition January 18-22, 2005
							Defendants' Designations	N TESTIMONY of Deposition Or on italicized to nary 18-22, 2005
							Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations	OF BASSEY JEJE dy) ext)
							Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	

Case	3:99	-cv-02506-SI Document 2061 Filed 11/03	3/08 Page 1	.7 of 23
	586:9-17		Plaintiffs' Designations	
586:18-19; 586:22-23			Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations	DESIG
Not necessary for a fair presentation of the evidence under FRE 106.  Cumulative of the frest transport		but rather is in the nature of cross-examination or affirmative designations by defendants.  Plaintiffs move to strike testimony at 366:17-19 as non-responsive to the question asked.  Further, defendants have already designated this testimony once. Even if it were admissible, it would be wasteful, prejudicial and otherwise improper to allow its presentation more than once.	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	DESIGNATIONS OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF BASSEY JEJE (Testifying By Way of Deposition Only) (Counter-Designations in italicized text) Deposition January 18-22, 2005
			Defendants' Designations	F DEPOSITION TESTIMONY tifying By Way of Deposition Or inter-Designations in italicized to Deposition January 18-22, 2005
			Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations	NY OF BASSEY JEJE Only) ed text)
			Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter- Designations	

.8 of 23	A PARTIES AND A	DESIG	DESIGNATIONS OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF (Testifying By Way of Deposition Only) (Counter-Designations in italicized text) Deposition January 18-22, 2005	F DEPOSITION TESTIMONY tifying By Way of Deposition Or unter-Designations in italicized t Deposition January 18-22, 2005	IY OF BASSEY JEJE Only) 1 text) 15	
/08 Page 1	Plaintiffs' Designations	Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	Defendants' Designations	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
1/03			submitted under FRE 403.			The state of the s
	586:25-587:1					
	587:3-8					
	587:10					
ment			T. A. A. Google, St. C.	626:5-12		
Ocu	628:8-12					
Case 3:99-cv-02506-SI				640:22-641:3	Irrelevant and prejudicial under FRE 402-403. Plaintiff Jeje is not claiming any damages arising from items he lost as a result of the Ilaje/Ijaw crisis. Indeed, he is not claiming any lost wages or other property losses arising from the injuries he suffered on May 28, 1998 at Parabe.	

Case 3:99-	cv-02506-SI Document 2061 Filed 11/03	3/08 Page 1	.9 of 23
645:13-646:2	644:10-17	Plaintiffs' Designations	
Irrelevant, prejudicial and improper lay testimony on causation of physical problems, because there is no medical testimony that they relate in any way to	Irrelevant, prejudicial and improper lay testimony on causation of physical problems, because there is no medical testimony that they relate in any way to Parabe. FRE 401-403, 701. 644:10-13: Testimony relating to causation of physical problems is improper lay testimony and prejudicial FRE 403, 701.	Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations	DESIG
Testimony is relevant to damages claim for pain and suffering and emotional distress and not prejudicial. It falls within the scope of proper lay opinion under	Testimony is directly relevant to plaintiff's prayer for damages for pain, suffering, and emotional distress and is not prejudicial any way. The testimony falls squarely with the scope of admissible lay opinion under FRE 701 in that it is "rationally based on the perception of the witness," "helpful to a clear understanding of the determination of a fact in issue," and not based on specialized knowledge.	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	DESIGNATIONS OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF (Testifying By Way of Deposition Only) (Counter-Designations in italicized text) Deposition January 18-22, 2005
	·	Defendants' Designations	N TESTIMONY of Deposition Or Deposition Or one in italicized the party 18-22, 2005
		Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations	NY OF BASSEY JEJE 1 Only) ed text)
		Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	

Case 3:99-cv-02506-SI Document 2052-2

Case	3:99	-cv-02506-SI Docu	ment 2061 Filed 1	1/03	3/08 Page 2	0 of 23
	651:14-18	650:20	650:17-18	****	Plaintiffs' Designations	770000
721:4-7	1 1	Irrelevant, prejudical and improper lay testimony on causation of physical problems, because there is no medical testimony that they relate in any way to Parabe. FRH 401 403, 701.	Irrelevant, prejudicial and improper lay testimony on causation of physical problems; because there is no medical testimony that they relate in any way to Parabe. FRE 401 407 701.	Parabe. FRE 401-403, 701.	Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations	DESIG
Improper counter- designation because not necessary for a fair presentation of the evidence		Testimony is relevant to damages claim for pain and suffering and emotional distress and not prejudicial. It falls within the scope of proper lay opinion under FRE 701.	Testimony is relevant to damages claim for pain and suffering and emotional distress and not prejudicial. It falls within the scope of proper lay opinion under FRE 701.	FRE 701.	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	DESIGNATIONS OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF BASSEY JEJE (Testifying By Way of Deposition Only) (Counter-Designations in italicized text) Deposition January 18-22, 2005
					Defendants' Designations	N TESTIMONY of Deposition Or on italicized that is 18-22, 2005
					Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations	OF BASSEY JEJE dy) ext)
					Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	

1 of 23	DESIC	DESIGNATIONS OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF (Testifying By Way of Deposition Only) (Counter-Designations in italicized text) Deposition January 18-22, 2005	F DEPOSITION TESTIMONY tifying By Way of Deposition Outer-Designations in italicized the Deposition January 18-22, 2005	ONY OF BASSEY JEJE n Only) sed text)	
/08 Page 2 Plaintiffs' Designations	"Defendants' Objections ns and Counter-Designations	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	Defendants' Designations	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter- Designations
ed 11/03,		under FRE 106, but rather in the nature of cross examination.			
Document 2061 Fil 721:9-22	Irrelevant, prejudicial and improper lay testimony on causation of physical problems, because there is no medical testimony that they relate in any way to Parabe. FRE-401-408, 701	Testimony is relevant to damages claim for pain and suffering and emotional distress and not prejudicial. It falls within the scope of proper lay opinion under FRE 701.		·	
3:99-cv-02506-SI 721:25	Irrelevant, prejudicial and improper lay testimony on causation of physical problems, because there is no medical testimony that they relate in any way to Parabe. FRE 401-403, 701.	Testimony is relevant to damages claim for pain and suffering and emotional distress and not prejudicial. It falls within the scope of proper lay opinion under FRE 701.			
755:18-21					
760:5-761:12	2   760:5-761:9: Testimony re deponent's speech to Ilaje	Plaintiff's speech to his fellow protesters is directly			

Case		6-SI	Document 2061 Filed 11/03	3/08 Page 2	2 of 23
772:3-20	766:12-23	762:8-15		Plaintiffs? Designations	
772:16-20: Hearsay. FRE 802. Bowoto has not testified to the conversation	Hearsay. FRE 802 (see testimony at 768:20-769:13 where deponent testifies that he heard message from Bola).		comparing them to Mandela and Saro-Wiwa is irrelevant, waste of time and prejudicial. FRE 401-403. 760:5-14: Hearsay. FRE 802.	Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations	DESIG
Prior consistent statement to the extent that defendants impeach Larry's testimony	State of mind exception to the hearsay rule, supporting proof of plaintiff's intent to leave Parabe the next day.  FRE 803(3).		relevant to his intent in going to the Parabe platform and, thus, cannot be deemed either a waste of time or unduly prejudicial.  Statements calling people together or directing them to do something are directives in the nature of notice, not hearsay statements offered for the truth of any matter.	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	DESIGNATIONS OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF BASSEY JEJE (Testifying By Way of Deposition Only) (Counter-Designations in italicized text) Deposition January 18-22, 2005
				Defendants' Designations	F DEPOSITION TESTIMONY stifying By Way of Deposition Or unter-Designations in italicized the Deposition January 18-22, 2005
				Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations	OF BASSEY JEJE aly) ext)
				Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	

23 of 23	and the second second	ed 11/03		2061	<u>ment</u>	I Docui	<u>)6-S</u>
	Plaintiffs' Designations		832:21-833:8	833:11-13		834:8-22	6 834:25-835:2
DESIG	Defendants? Objections and Counter-Designations	Jeje describes.			833:19-834:7		
DESIGNATIONS OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF (Testifying By Way of Deposition Only) (Counter-Designations in italicized text) Deposition January 18-22, 2005	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	that he sent for boats to come in the morning. FRE 801(d)(1).			;		
of DEPOSITION TESTIMONY stifying By Way of Deposition Or unter-Designations in italicized to Deposition January 18-22, 2005	Defendants' Designations						
Y OF BASSEY JEJE Only) text)	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations						
	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations						